

**Molecular Epidemiology of Thermophilic Campylobacter
Infection in Poultry, Cattle and Humans in Plateau State,
Nigeria**

BY

ngulukun, sati samuel *DVM (ABU), MSc (Nig)*

(PG/PhD/05/40349)

**A THESIS SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF VETERINARY
PUBLIC HEALTH AND PREVENTIVE MEDICINE IN PARTIAL
FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENT FOR THE AWARD OF THE
DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY IN VETERINARY
EPIDEMIOLOGY AND PUBLIC HEALTH, UNIVERSITY OF NIGERIA,
NSUKKA**

OCTOBER, 2010.

CERTIFICATION

We certify that Dr Ngulukun, Sati Samuel carried out this research work in the Department of Veterinary Public Health and Preventive Medicine at the University of Nigeria, Nsukka. The work presented herein is original and has not been previously reported anywhere else.

.....

Prof. S.I. Oboegbulem

(Supervisor)

.....

Date

.....

Prof. J. U. Umoh

(External Examiner)

.....

Date

.....

Dr. J. A. Nwanta

(Head of Department)

.....

Date

.....

Prof. C. N. Uchendu

(Dean, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine)

.....

Date

DEDICATION

I dedicate this work to Almighty God and to my lovely wife, Jocelyn and children; Benan, Kennan and Dengnan for their unalloyed support during this PhD programme.

ABSTRACT

Occurrence of *Campylobacter* species was investigated in poultry, cattle and humans in Plateau state. Cloacal swabs, rectal swabs and faeces from apparently healthy broilers, cattle and humans in selected farms and Hospitals respectively were cultured for *Campylobacter* organisms on modified charcoal cefoperazone deoxycholate agar (MCCDA). Characterization of *Campylobacter* isolates was done by using standard biochemical tests and further confirmed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Multilocus sequence typing was done by amplifying and sequencing 7 house keeping genes. Out of the overall 1, 012 samples tested, 228 (22.2%) were positive for *Campylobacter* species. One hundred and seventy five (76.8%) were identified as *C. jejuni* while 53 (23.2%) were *C. coli*. Out of the 360 samples from poultry tested, 129 (35.8%) were positive for *Campylobacter* species, with 105 (81.4%) as *C. jejuni* and 24 (18.6%) as *C. coli*. Of the 352 samples from cattle, 65 (18.5%) were positive with 52 (80%) as *C. jejuni* and 13 (20%) as *C. coli*. Similarly, of the 300 samples from humans tested, 34 (11.3%) were positive with 18 (52.9%) as *C. jejuni* while 16 (47.1%) were *C. coli*. The results of the study also showed that the prevalence was significantly higher in calves (25%) than in adults (12.2%) ($P < 0.05$). Similarly, the prevalence was significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) in children (15.8%) than adults (7.1%).

Susceptibility to 10 antimicrobial agents was evaluated using the disc diffusion technique. Of the 145 *Campylobacter* isolates from all sources tested, 115 (79.3%) were resistant to one or more of the ten antimicrobial agents. Overall, the highest resistance was observed to nalidixic acid (60.7%), followed by tetracycline (57.2%), ciprofloxacin (53.1%), sulphamethoxazole/trimethoprim (46.2%) and erythromycin (41.4%). The low resistance was observed to azithromycin (27.6%), streptomycin (13.1%), gentamicin (6.9%) and chloramphenicol (4.8%). All the isolates were susceptible to clindamycin. Considering the overall resistance by species, *C. coli* was generally more resistant than *C. jejuni* to most of the antimicrobial agents. Overall, 81 (55.9%) of the isolates were multiresistant with nalidixic acid as the most frequently occurring antimicrobial agent, being found in 25 of the 29 multiresistant patterns.

Out of the 125 *Campylobacter* isolates tested by PCR, 112 (89.6%) were correctly confirmed as *C. jejuni* and *C. coli*. Like the biochemical tests, none of the isolate was confirmed as *C. lari*. Out of the 60 isolates sequenced by MLST, 36 were *C. jejuni* while 24 were *C. coli*. The number of

unique alleles at each locus varied from 5 for the *asp* and *unc* locus to 8 for the *gly* locus for the *C. jejuni* isolates while the number of unique alleles at each locus for the *C. coli* isolates varied from 2 for the *gln* locus to 5 for the *gly* locus. A high degree of variability was observed at locus *tkl*, which was caused by a unique allele *tkl-3* characteristic of *C. jejuni*, but present in 2 of our *C. coli* isolates. Out of the 43 sequence types identified, 23 (53.5%) were novel. The remaining 20 (46.5%) had been previously reported. From the 36 *Campylobacter jejuni* isolates sequenced, a total of 21 sequence types were identified with 9 (42.9%) being new. The new sequence types were ST4036, ST4037, ST4038, ST4039, ST4040, ST4041, ST4064, ST4066 and ST4220. The most common sequence type was ST1932 which comprised of 6 isolates (28.6%), followed by ST1036 and ST607 with 3 isolates (14.3%) each and ST3554, ST460, ST4041, ST523, ST354 and ST4064 with 2 isolates (9.5%) each. From the 24 *Campylobacter coli* isolates sequenced, a total of 22 sequence types were identified with 14 (63.6%) being novel. The 43 STs were grouped in to 8 previously defined clonal complexes (CC). The clonal complexes were CC-460, CC-607, CC-353, CC-354, CC-658, CC-574, CC-22 and CC-828. Nine (20.9%) STs found in the isolates were unassigned. CC-828 (n = 16; 26.7%) was the most prevalent followed by CC-460 (n = 14; 23.3%) and CC 353 (n = 5; 10%). CC 828, CC460 and CC353 overlap among the poultry, cattle and human isolates. CC-607 was associated with poultry and human isolates only while CC-354 and CC-574 were associated with poultry isolates only. CC-658 was associated with poultry and cattle isolates only while CC-22 was found in the human isolates only.

The results of this study revealed that *Campylobacter* species is prevalent in poultry, cattle and humans in Plateau state. The study also revealed that most of the isolates were resistant to most of the antimicrobial agents used with *C. coli* being more resistant than *C. jejuni*. The *Campylobacter* strains showed high level of genetic diversity with *C. jejuni* isolates being more diverse than *C.coli*. Genetic exchange between *C.jejuni* and *C. coli* was also determined in this study. This study also revealed that certain STs and CCs are associated with source of isolation which is an important epidemiological finding in tracing back sources of infection.

Table of Contents

Title page	i
Certification	ii
Dedication	iii
Abstract.....	iv
Table of Contents.....	vi
Acknowledgements.....	xvii
List of tables	xii
List of figures.....	xiv
List of plates.....	xv
List of appendix.....	xvi
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Background of the study.....	1
1.2 Statement of the problem.....	4
1.3 Research questions.....	8
1.4 Aims and objectives of the study.....	8
1.5 Significance of the study.....	9
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW.....	11
2.1 Historical background.....	11
2.2 Taxonomy of <i>Campylobacter</i>	11
2.3 Bacteriology of <i>Campylobacter</i>	12
2.4 Growth requirement of <i>Campylobacter</i>	13

2.5 Media for <i>Campylobacter</i>	13
2.6 Transmission.....	13
2.7 Prevalence and distribution of <i>Campylobacter</i> infection.....	14
2.8 Suotyping of <i>Campylobacter</i> species	16
2.8.1 Phenotyping	16
2.8.2 Serotyping of <i>Campylobacter</i>	18
2.8.3 Biotyping of <i>Campylobacter</i>	20
2.8.4 Phage typing of <i>Campylobacter</i>	22
2.9 Genotyping of <i>Campylobacter</i>	22
2.9.1 Fla typing	24
2.9.2 Ribotyping	25
2.9.3 Random Amplification of Polymorphic DNA	25
2.9.4 Pulsed- Field Gel Electrophoresis (PFGE)	25
2.9.5 Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism (AFLP)	27
2.9.6 Multilocus Sequence Typing (MLST)	27
2.10 Pathogenesis and virulence factors of <i>Campylobacter</i> species	28
2.10.1 Multility	29
2.10.2 Chemotaxis	29
2.10.3 Adhesion	30
2.10.4 Invasion	30
2.10.5 Toxins	31

2.11 Clinical Manifestation of campylobacteriosis in humans	32
2.12 Extraintestinal infections caused by Campylobacters	33
2.12.1 Bacteremia	33
2.12.2 Abortion and Perinatal infection	34
2.13 Sequelae associated with campylobacteriosis	34
2.13.1 Reactive Arthritis	34
2.13.2 Guillain-Barre Syndrome	34
2.14 Sources of infection in Humans	35
2.15 Outbreak of infection in humans	38
2.16 Treatment of campylobacteriosis	40
2.17 Prevention and control of campylobacteriosis	40
2.17.1 Biosecurity measures	41
2.17.2 Biological measures	41
2.18 Antimicrobial resistance of Campylobacter species	33
CHAPTER THREE: MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	46
3.1 Study area.....	46
3.2 Study population.....	48
3.3 Sample size.....	48
3.4 Sampling and sampling methods.....	49
3.5 Specimen collection.....	50
3.5.1 Poultry.....	50

3.5.2 Cattle.....	50
3.5.3 Humans.....	50
3.6 Preparation of media.....	50
3.6.1 Modified charcoal cefoperazone deoxycholate agar (<i>mCCDA</i>).....	50
3.7 Processing of samples.....	51
3.8 Biochemical characterization and identification of <i>Campylobacter</i> isolates.....	51
3.8.1 Nalidixic acid and cephalothin sensitivity test.....	51
3.8.2 Hippurate hydrolysis test.....	52
3.8.3 Indoxyl acetate hydrolysis test.....	52
3.9 Storage of isolates.....	52
3.10 Antimicrobial susceptibility test.....	53
3.11 Confirmation of <i>Campylobacter</i> species using PCR.....	54
3.11.1 DNA extraction.....	56
3.11.2 PCR amplification.....	56
3.12 Multilocus sequence typing (MLST).....	57
3.12.1 Selection of bacterial isolates used from poultry, cattle and humans.....	57
3.12.2 Isolation of <i>Campylobacter</i> DNA.....	57
3.12.3 Genes and primers for MLST.....	58
3.12.4 PCR amplification.....	58
3.12.5 PCR clean-up for sequencing.....	61
3.12.6 Nucleotide sequencing.....	61

3.12.7 MLST allele, ST and CC assignment.....	61
3.12.8 Phylogenetic analysis of isolates.....	62
3.13 Statistical analysis.....	63
CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS.....	64
4.1 Prevalence of <i>Campylobacter</i> species in poultry, cattle and humans.....	64
4.2 Zonal distribution of <i>Campylobacter</i> species in poultry, cattle and humans.....	64
4.3 Age distribution of <i>Campylobacter</i> in cattle and humans.....	65
4.4 Sex distribution of <i>Campylobacter</i> infection in cattle and humans.....	65
4.5 Flock prevalence of <i>Campylobacter</i> infection in poultry.....	65
4.6 Herd prevalence of <i>Campylobacter</i> infection in Cattle.....	65
4.7 Confirmation of <i>Campylobacter</i> isolates by PCR.....	66
4.3 Antimicrobial resistance of <i>Campylobacter</i> species.....	66
4.3.1 Overall antimicrobial resistance of <i>Campylobacter</i> isolates from poultry, cattle and humans.....	66
4.3.2 Antimicrobial resistance of <i>Campylobacter</i> isolates from poultry.....	67
4.3.3 Antimicrobial resistance of <i>Campylobacter</i> isolates from cattle.....	68
4.3.4 Antimicrobial resistance of <i>Campylobacter</i> isolates from humans.....	69
4.8.0 Multilocus sequence typing (MLST) of <i>Campylobacter</i> species isolated from poultry, cattle and humans.....	71
4.8.1 Diversity of MLST alleles.....	71
4.8.2 Diversity of sequence types.....	71
4.8.3 Diversity of clonal complexes.....	73

4.8.4 Association between clonal complexes and sources of isolation.....	74
CHAPTER FIVE: DISCUSSION.....	107
CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	126
6.1 Conclusion	126
6.2 Recommendations	128
REFERENCES.....	130

List of Tables.....	18
----------------------------	-----------

2.1 Basic phenotypic characteristics of selected thermophilic <i>Campylobacter</i> species.....	18
3.1 Primer sequences used in the multiplex PCR assay and expected sizes of the products.....	55
3.2 Nucleotide sequences of oligonucleotide primers used for <i>C. coli</i> MLST.....	59
3.3 Nucleotide sequences of oligonucleotide primers used for <i>C. jejuni</i> MLST.....	60
4.1 Prevalence of <i>Campylobacter</i> species in poultry, cattle and humans in Plateau state.....	75
4.2 Zonal distribution of <i>Campylobacter</i> species in poultry, cattle and humans.....	76
4.3a Age distribution of <i>Campylobacter</i> in cattle.....	77
4.3b Age distribution of <i>Campylobacter</i> in humans.....	78
4.4a Sex distribution of <i>Campylobacter</i> infection in cattle.....	79
4.4b Sex distribution of <i>Campylobacter</i> infection in humans.....	80
4.5 Flock prevalence of <i>Campylobacter</i> infection in poultry.....	81
4.6 Herd prevalence of <i>Campylobacter</i> infection in Cattle.....	82
4.7 Overall antimicrobial resistance of <i>Campylobacter</i> isolates from poultry, cattle and human.....	83
4.8 Antimicrobial resistance of <i>Campylobacter</i> isolates from poultry.....	84
4.9 Antimicrobial resistance of <i>Campylobacter</i> isolates from cattle.....	85
4.10 Antimicrobial resistance of <i>Campylobacter</i> isolates from humans.....	86
4.11 Overall multiple antimicrobial resistant patterns of <i>Campylobacter</i> species isolated from poultry, cattle and humans.....	87
4.12 Multiple antimicrobial resistant patterns of <i>Campylobacter</i> species isolated from poultry.....	89
4.13 Multiple antimicrobial resistant patterns of <i>Campylobacter</i> species isolated from cattle.....	90
4.14 Multiple antimicrobial resistant patterns of <i>Campylobacter</i> species isolated from humans.....	91

4.15 Allelic diversity of <i>C. jejuni</i> and <i>C. coli</i> isolates.....	92
---	----

Lists of Figures.....	47
------------------------------	-----------

Fig.1 Map of Plateau state showing the 17 Local Government Areas and the 3 Agricultural zones.....	47
Fig.2 Sodium hippurate hydrolysis test.....	96
Fig.3 Agarose Gel Electrophoresis of PCR products showing amplicons of <i>C. jejuni</i> isolates.....	97
Fig.4 Agarose Gel Electrophoresis of PCR products showing amplicons of <i>C. coli</i> isolates.....	98
Fig.5 Agarose Gel Electrophoresis of PCR products showing amplicons of <i>C. jejuni</i> and <i>C. coli</i> isolates.....	99
Fig.6 Agarose Gel Electrophoresis of PCR products showing amplicons of mixed infections of <i>C. jejuni/C.coli</i>	100
Fig.7 Agarose Gel Electrophoresis of PCR products showing amplicons of <i>C. jejuni asp</i> gene.....	101
Fig.8 Agarose Gel Electrophoresis of PCR products showing amplicons of <i>C. jejuni gln</i> gene.....	102
Fig. 9 Agarose Gel Electrophoresis of PCR products showing amplicons of <i>C. jejuni glt</i> gene.....	103
Fig.10 Dendrogram showing phylogenetic relationship among <i>C. jejuni</i> and <i>C. coli</i> strains from poultry, cattle and humans in Plateau state, Nigeria.....	104
Fig.11 Overall distribution of Clonal complexes of <i>Campylobacter</i> species isolated in Plateau state.....	105
Fig.12 Distribution of clonal complexes of <i>Campylobacter</i> species according to sources of isolation.....	106
List of Plates.....	93

Plate 1 Gram's stain showing spiral forms of Campylobacter species.....	93
Plate 2 Gram's stain showing short curve forms of Campylobacter species.....	94
Plate 3 Indoxyl hydrolysis test.....	95

List of Appendix.....	167
------------------------------	------------

Appendix I. Multilocus sequence typing (MLST) of <i>C. jejuni</i> and <i>C. coli</i> isolates from poultry, cattle and humans in Plateau state, Nigeria.....	167
Appendix II. Distribution of ST and CC of <i>C. jejuni</i> and <i>C. coli</i> isolates from poultry, cattle and humans in Plateau state, Nigeria	170
Appendix III. Letter of assignment from the Campylobacter MLST database of new sequence type of Campylobacter strains isolated.....	173

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank my supervisor and mentor, Professor S.I Oboegbulem for his continuing support and enthusiasm in bringing me up as a sound scientist and researcher. I really appreciate the excellent discussions we had when I mooted the idea of this research work. You gave me all the needed encouragements even in the midst of uncertainties at the beginning of this PhD programme. Thank you, Sir.

My special thanks go to Dr Viktoria Atanassova, a renowned *Campylobacter* expert in the Institute of Food Quality and Food Safety, University of Veterinary Medicine, Hannover, Germany (TiHo), for granting me a sponsorship to her Laboratory for the molecular genotyping of the *Campylobacter* isolates. I also thank Professor G. Klein, also a *Campylobacter* expert who is the Director of the Institute of Food Quality and Food Safety, University of Veterinary Medicine, Hannover, Germany for accepting me to work in his Microbiology and Molecular Biology Laboratories. He also created time out of his tight schedules to offer technical advice when called upon. Many thanks to Dr C. Werner, the head of the Molecular Biology Lab at TiHo, for providing a conducive environment for the molecular aspect of this work. Thanks for your tutorials on Bionumerics. I also wish to thank Dr U. Peters of the Molecular Biology Lab at TiHo for putting me through the rudiments of the multilocus sequence typing (MLST) technique and introducing me to the *Campylobacter* MLST website and database. Also of special acknowledgement are these distinguished young scientists and researchers on *Campylobacter* at TiHo; Dr F. Reich, Dr C. Konstantin and Dr K. Yvone, who made my stay worthwhile and broaden my scope in *Campylobacter* research. Worthy of mention also are the friendly and hard working technicians of the Microbiology and Molecular Biology Laboratories at TiHo. Thanks for the jokes during tea breaks. Special thanks to a special friend and colleague, Dr N. M. Useh, who played very active role in my surgeon at TiHo and for the wonderful time we had together at Hannover, Germany.

I also wish to thank, very specially, my Executive Director, National Veterinary Research Institute, Vom, Dr (Mrs) L. H. Lombin, for approving the purchase of all the materials and reagents for this work. I also wish to appreciate her and her management team for approving my trip to Germany for part of this work.

Prof. J. K. P. Kwaga, thank you so very much for taking your precious time to go through this work and for your suggestions on the molecular aspect of this work.

I would like to thank Professor C. N. Uchendu, Dean, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Nigeria, Nsukka for providing a conducive environment for this PhD programme. I also thank Dr J. A. Nwanta, Head of Department of Vet. Public Health and Preventive Medicine, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, for his advice and encouragement. Dr K. F. Chah, Department of Pathology and Microbiology is highly appreciated for his continuing support and numerous advices in the microbiology aspect of this work. To Dr and Dr (Mrs) Nwigwe, my hosts at Nsukka, thank you so very much for your hospitality.

My special appreciation goes to my 'Sweet heart', Barr. (Mrs) Jocelyn Ngulukun, who was always praying for me. She solidly supported me throughout this period. She took charge while I was often away on my numerous trips to Nsukka and when I travelled to Germany for part of my bench work. She is indeed a rare gem.

To my very special ones (My children); Benan, Kennan and Dengnan (who was born when I was writing this thesis), you have been my driving force to finish this PhD programme in good time. Thank you so very much for your understanding while I was often away. I also appreciate my brothers and sisters; Ayuba, Ramatu, Asabe and Laraba for their prayers and support.

Very special thanks go to Dr. P. A. Okewole, my former Head of Department at N. V. R. I. Vom, who encouraged me to work on *Campylobacter*. I acknowledge and appreciate the cooperation and assistance of all the poultry farmers and Fulani cattle rearers in Plateau state who participated in this study and allowed us access to their farms and animals during the sample collections, without which this research work wouldn't have been possible. I also thank the management and technical staff of the various General hospitals where the human stool samples were collected.

May the Almighty God bless each and every one who has contributed in one way or the other in seeing me complete this PhD programme.

Dr Ngulukun, Sati Samuel